UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/533,371 | 06/01/2006 | Mark Pines | COLL/019 | 4762 |
| 1473 7590 04/10/2008 ROPES & GRAY LLP PATENT DOCKETING 39/361 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | STONE, CHRISTOPHER R | |
| 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1614 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/10/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/533,371 | PINES ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | CHRISTOPHER R. STONE | 1614 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A _I | oril 2005 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| o) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e | siection requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment/c) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 2, drawn to a method for improving liver generation.

Group II, claim(s) 3-9, drawn to a method of treating or preventing pathological processes related to fibrotic processes.

Group III, claims 10-16, drawn to of treating or preventing toxin induced pathological processes.

Group IV, claims 17 and 18, drawn to a method of treating hepatic cirrhosis.

Group V, claims 19 and 20, drawn to a method of improving liver regeneration.

Group VI, claims 21-24, drawn to a method of improving the capacity of a cirrhotic liver to regenerate following a partial hepatectomy.

Group VII, claims 25 and 26, drawn to a method for increasing the amount of biologically active IGF-1.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: compositions of the formula of claim 1 are not novel. For example, halofuginone is known (see US Patent

Art Unit: 1614

4485115, column 8, lines 25-26). Therefore a holding of lack of unity of invention against Groups I-VII is proper.

Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,371 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

28March2008 CRS

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614